

OVERVIEW

Participants respond to an annual coding-related design challenge by developing a software program that will accurately address an onsite problem in a specified, limited amount of time. Specific elements to be used, such as the programming language, operating system, or application programming interface (API), will be released on-site. Completed solutions will be objectively measured to determine the best and most effective solution for the stated problem.

ELIGIBILITY

Participants are limited to one (1) individual, or one (1) team of two to three (2-3) members per chapter, one (1) entry per individual or team.

TIME LIMITS

The allotted time for the design and construction of the solution is two (2) hours.

Semifinalists will participate in a LEAP interview that will last a maximum of five (5) minutes.

LEAP LEADERSHIP RESUME/INTERVIEW

A Team LEAP Leadership Resume is required for this event and must be submitted when participants arrive at the event at the designated event time. Semifinalists will respond to interview questions related to their submitted LEAP Resume for a maximum of five (5) minutes. A team competing in this event will use the Team LEAP Leadership Resume template; an individual competing in this event will use the Individual LEAP Leadership Resume template.

ATTIRE

Competition attire, as described in the National TSA Dress Code section of this guide, is required for this event.



PROCEDURE

- A. Participants report to the event area at the time and place stated in the conference program. Participants must submit a LEAP Leadership Resume prior to receiving the onsite problem.
- B. The problem, evaluation criteria, and materials are distributed.
- C. Participants are required to provide their own computer hardware and software.
- D. Teams have two (2) hours to design and present a solution.
- E. Each solution is tested and presented to the judges as soon as possible after the coding phase is completed.
- F. Evaluators independently assess the entries. Semifinalists will be determined and posted by the CRC.
- G. Semifinalist teams will report at the time and place stated in the conference program to sign up for a semifinalist LEAP interview.
- H. No more than three (3) representatives per team report for the semifinalist LEAP interview. The interview will last a maximum of five (5) minutes.

It is essential that students and advisors routinely check the TSA website (www.tsaweb.org) for updated information about TSA general rules and competitive events. This information is found on the website under Competitions/Updates. When students participate in any TSA competitive event, they are responsible for knowing of updates, changes, or clarification related to that event.

REGULATIONS

- A. All work must be completed in the event area during the time specified for the event.
- B. Teams must bring one (1) laptop or desktop computer with charging cables, one (1) monitor, one (1) power strip, and pencils and paper.
- C. Students will not have access to the Internet during the event. Students must have all software development tools needed for the competition downloaded and accessible on their computers.
- D. All solutions must be tested, demonstrated, and presented by participants in front of the judges.
- E. Participants in this event should concentrate their efforts prior to the competition on researching, understanding, and practicing

Read the
General Rules and
Regulations section in
the front of this guide
for information that
applies to all of TSA's
competitive events.



- all aspects of the coding process, software development, operating systems, programming languages, etc.
- F. LEAP Leadership Resume (see Forms Appendix or TSA website)/Interview Teams document, in the LEAP leadership resume, the leadership skills that the team has developed and demonstrated while working on this event. Semifinalists will respond to questions about the content of their resume as part of their LEAP interview. The LEAP Leadership Resume/ Interview guidelines and other resources can be found on the TSA website. A team competing in this event will use the Team LEAP Leadership Resume template; an individual competing in this event will use the Individual LEAP Leadership Resume template.

EVALUATION

Solutions are evaluated objectively. A finite measure will be defined in the problem and will be used to determine the best solution. Second-best attempts or other objective criteria are used to break ties when possible. Only as a last resort will subjective criteria, such as originality, be used to evaluate solutions. The LEAP requirements will also be evaluated. Please refer to the official rating form for more information.



STEM INTEGRATION

Depending upon the subject of the problem, this event may align to one or more STEM areas. Please refer to the STEM Integration section of this guide for more information.

TSA AND CAREERS

This competition connects to one or more of the career areas featured in the TSA AND CAREERS section of this guide. Use *The Career Clusters* chart and the *TSA Competitions and The Career Clusters* grid as resources for information about careers.

CAREERS RELATED TO THIS EVENT

Computer software engineer Mathematician



CODING **EVENT COORDINATOR INSTRUCTIONS**

PERSONNEL

- A. Event coordinator
- B. Assistants for set-up, monitoring, and clean-up of on-site activity, two (2) or more
- C. Evaluators, two (2) or more
- D. Evaluators for semifinalist interviews; two (2) or more

MATERIALS

- A. Coordinator's packet, containing:
 - 1. Event guidelines, one (1) copy for the coordinator and for each evaluator
 - 2. TSA Event Coordinator Report
 - 3. List of evaluators/assistants
 - 4. Pre-populated flash drives for evaluators
 - 5. Stick-on labels for entries, as needed
 - 6. Results envelope
 - 7. Envelope for LEAP Leadership Resumes
 - 8. LEAP Interview Judging Protocol
- B. Tables and chairs for participants
- C. Tables and chairs for evaluators, to be used for information distribution and evaluation
- D. A copy of a well-written, technologically appropriate problem for each team that can be objectively measured
- E. Adequate conditions, tools, materials, monitoring, and testing devices for the problem
- F. Stopwatch or clock for timekeeper

RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Upon arrival at the conference, report to the CRC room and check the contents of the coordinator's packet. Review the event guidelines and check to see that enough evaluators and assistants have been scheduled.



- B. Inspect the area(s) in which the event is being held for appropriate set-up, including room size, chairs, tables, outlets, etc. Notify the event manager of any potential problems.
- C. One (1) hour before the event is to begin, meet with evaluators to review time limits, procedures, and regulations. If questions arise that cannot be answered, speak to the event manager before the event begins.
- D. Check tools, materials, and monitoring and testing devices.
- E. Begin the event at the scheduled time by closing the doors and checking the entry list. Collect LEAP Leadership Resumes. All participants and evaluators should be in the room at this time. Participants not present may be disqualified. In order to compete, participants must be on the entry list or must have approval of the CRC.
- F. Once teams are seated and general announcements have been given, distribute and review the problem and start the time.
- G. Evaluators and monitors observe the entire construction phase, with evaluators measuring solutions as soon as appropriate.
- H. For participants who violate the rules, the decision either to deduct 20% of the total possible points or to disqualify the entry must be discussed and verified with the evaluators, event coordinator, and the CRC manager.
- I. Evaluators independently assess the entries. Semifinalists will be determined and posted by the CRC.
- J. Meet with semifinalist teams at the time and place stated in the conference program to allow them to sign up for a semifinalist LEAP interview time.
- K. Inspect the area in which the LEAP interviews will take place. Ensure that there is a table and seating for participants and evaluators.
- L. Meet with semifinalist evaluators to review the LEAP Judging Protocol. If questions arise that cannot be answered, speak to the event manager before the semifinalist presentations begin.
- M. Conduct semifinalist LEAP interviews. Interviews should be a maximum of five (5) minutes in length.
- N. Evaluators determine the ranking of the ten (10) finalists and discuss and break any ties.
- O. Review and submit the finalist results and all items/forms in the results envelope to the CRC room.
- P. If necessary, manage security and the removal of materials from the area.



Participant/Team ID# __

| | | CC | DING | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 2017 & 2018 OFFICIAL RATING FORM HIGH SC | | | | | | HIGH SCHOO | |
| | | Go/No Go | Specification | ons | | | |
| Before judging the entry, nissing, leave the box bla he ENTRY NOT EVALUAT | ank and place a check m | nark in the b | oox labeled ENT | resence with a che RY NOT EVALUATE | ck mark ED. If a c | in the box. If an item is check mark is placed in | |
| ☐ Completed LEAP Lead | dership Resume is prese | ent. | | | | | |
| ☐ ENTRY NOT EVALUAT | ED | | | | | | |
| Solution Development (30 points) | | | | | | | |
| ODITEDIA | Minimal performa | Minimal performance Adequate performance Ex | | xemplary performance | | | |
| CRITERIA | 1 4 nointe | | 5-8 | points | | 9-10 points | |
| cores earned for the event | criteria in the column spa | aces to the ri | ght. The X1 or X | 2 notation in the crite | eria colur | mn is a multiplier factor | |
| scores earned for the event or determining the points e | 1-4 points), adequate (5-8 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade | aces to the ri equate" score | ight. The X1 or X e of 7 for an X1 c | 2 notation in the crite riterion = 7 points; ar | eria colur n "adequ | mn is a multiplier factor | |
| scores earned for the event or determining the points e criterion = 14 points.) A sco | 1-4 points), adequate (5-6 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used approfessed approfessed in the column. It is not a software and efficient little or no evidence of residual in the column. | aces to the ri equate" score e if the mining re and opriately, ly. There is esearch, | ght. The X1 or X e of 7 for an X1 c mal performance Some of the ha software are us effectively, and is some evidence | 2 notation in the crite riterion = 7 points; ar for any criterion is no rdware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There ce of research, | All of th are use and effi and sol | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software ad appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, | |
| scores earned for the event or determining the points e criterion = 14 points.) A sco Hardware and software See Procedure C | 1-4 points), adequate (5-6 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used approfessed approfessed in the column space. It is not a software and efficient little or no evidence of reunderstanding, and practice. | aces to the ri equate" score e if the mining re and opriately, ly. There is esearch, | ght. The X1 or X e of 7 for an X1 c mal performance Some of the ha software are us effectively, and is some evidence understanding, | 2 notation in the crite riterion = 7 points; ar for any criterion is no rdware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There | All of th are use and effi and soli underst | mn is a multiplier factor rate" score of 7 for an X2 ne hardware and software ad appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete | |
| | 1-4 points), adequate (5-6 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used approfessed approfessed in the column. It is not a software and efficient little or no evidence of residual in the column. | aces to the ri- equate" score e if the minin re and opriately, ly. There is esearch, ctice in the is unable | ght. The X1 or X or X or 7 for an X1 cmal performance Some of the has software are us effectively, and is some evidency understanding, usage. | 2 notation in the criterior = 7 points; ar for any criterion is nordware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There co of research, and practice in the somewhat generally meets or | All of th are use and effi and sol underst usage. | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software ad appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, | |
| cores earned for the event or determining the points e criterion = 14 points.) A sco Hardware and software See Procedure C (X1) | 1-4 points), adequate (5-8 criteria in the column spanned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptable. Very little of the hardwa software are used approfessed appropriately, and efficient little or no evidence of runderstanding, and pracusage. The solution developed to fully meet or solve the | aces to the ri- equate" score e if the minin re and opriately, ly. There is esearch, ctice in the is unable | ght. The X1 or X of 7 for an X1 c and performance Some of the has software are us effectively, and is some evidency understanding, usage. The solution is developed and | 2 notation in the criterior = 7 points; ar for any criterion is nordware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There co of research, and practice in the somewhat generally meets or | All of th are use and effi and sol underst usage. | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software and appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, tanding, and practice in the lution is fully developed early meets or solves the | |
| scores earned for the event for determining the points e criterion = 14 points.) A sco Hardware and software See Procedure C (X1) | 1-4 points), adequate (5-8 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used appro- effectively, and efficientl little or no evidence of nunderstanding, and pra- usage. The solution developed to fully meet or solve the problem. | aces to the ricquate" score e if the mining re and oppriately, ly. There is esearch, ctice in the is unable e defined | ght. The X1 or X of 7 for an X1 c and performance Some of the has software are us effectively, and is some evidency understanding, usage. The solution is developed and | 2 notation in the criterion = 7 points; ar for any criterion is nordware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There are of research, and practice in the somewhat generally meets or lem. | All of th are use and effi and sol underst usage. | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software and appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, tanding, and practice in the lution is fully developed early meets or solves the end problem. | |
| scores earned for the event for determining the points e priterion = 14 points.) A sco Hardware and software See Procedure C (X1) Solution (X2) | 1-4 points), adequate (5-8 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used appre effectively, and efficient little or no evidence of re understanding, and pracusage. The solution developed to fully meet or solve the problem. | aces to the ricquate" score e if the minimum re and opriately, ly. There is esearch, ctice in the is unable e defined | ght. The X1 or X or 7 for an X1 c mal performance Some of the has oftware are us effectively, and is some evidence understanding, usage. The solution is developed and solves the probes. | 2 notation in the crite riterion = 7 points; ar for any criterion is no rdware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There ce of research, and practice in the somewhat generally meets or lem. ints) | eria colur n "adequa ot met. All of th are use and effi and sol underst usage. The sol and cle identifie | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software and appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, tanding, and practice in the lution is fully developed larly meets or solves the led problem. | |
| cores earned for the event or determining the points e riterion = 14 points.) A sco dardware and software See Procedure C (X1) Solution (X2) Evaluation | 1-4 points), adequate (5-8 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used appre effectively, and efficient little or no evidence of re understanding, and pracusage. The solution developed to fully meet or solve the problem. | aces to the ricquate" score e if the minimum re and opriately, ly. There is esearch, ctice in the is unable e defined | ght. The X1 or X or X or 7 for an X1 cmal performance Some of the hasoftware are us effectively, and is some evidence understanding, usage. The solution is developed and solves the probes of the p | 2 notation in the crite riterion = 7 points; ar for any criterion is no rdware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There ce of research, and practice in the somewhat generally meets or lem. ints) | eria colurn "adequator met. All of the are use and efficient wage. The sol and clesidentified in a rankir | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software and appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, tanding, and practice in the lution is fully developed larly meets or solves the led problem. | |
| scores earned for the event for determining the points experiterion = 14 points.) A score Hardware and software See Procedure C (X1) Solution (X2) Evaluation A finite unit of measure, such that is the solution of the solution (X2) 2 | 1-4 points), adequate (5-8 criteria in the column spa arned. (Example: an "ade re of zero (0) is acceptabl Very little of the hardwa software are used approximate of the column sparage. The solution developed to fully meet or solve the problem. | e if the minimum and opriately, ly. There is esearch, ctice in the is unable e defined | ght. The X1 or X of 7 for an X1 cmal performance Some of the has software are us effectively, and is some evidency understanding, usage. The solution is developed and solves the probes of the prob | 2 notation in the criterion = 7 points; ar for any criterion is nor rdware and ed appropriately, efficiently. There ce of research, and practice in the somewhat generally meets or lem. ints) c., is used to determine the rich and practice in the lem. | eria colur n "adequa ot met. All of the are use and effi and sol underst usage. The sol and cle identifie | mn is a multiplier factor late" score of 7 for an X2 me hardware and software and appropriately, effectively iciently. There is complete lid evidence of research, tanding, and practice in the lution is fully developed larly meets or solves the led problem. SUBTOTAL (30 points) mg. | |

Indicate the rule violated:



| Semifinalist LEAP Interview (20 points) | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| CRITERIA | Minimal performance | Adequate performance 5-8 points | Exemplary performance 9-10 points | | | |
| LEAP Leadership Resume/Interview See Regulation F and instructions on TSA website (X2) | The individual's or team's efforts are not clearly communicated, lack detail, and/or are unconvincing; few, if any, attempts are made to identify and/or incorporate the LEAP Be. Know. Do. criteria. | The individual's or team's efforts are adequately communicated, include some detail, are clear, and/or are generally convincing; identification and/or incorporation of the LEAP Be. Know. Do. criteria is adequate. | The individual's or team's efforts are clearly communicated, fully-detailed, and convincing; identification and/or incorporation of the LEAP Be. Know. Do. criteria is excellent. | | | |
| SUBTOTAL (20 points) | | | | | | |

| (To arrive at the TOTAL score, add any subtotals and subtract rules violation | TOTAL (100 points) | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| I certify these results to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. | | | | | |
| Evaluator | | | | | |
| Printed name: | Signature: | | | | |